

Dirac equation for strings

Maciej Trzetrzelewski *

CRISIL Global Research and Analytics
Av. Libertador 1969, Olivos, Buenos Aires,
Argentina

Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik
Albert-Einstein-Institut,
Mühlenberg 1, D-14476 Potsdam,
Germany

Abstract

Starting with a Nambu-Goto action, a Dirac-like equation can be constructed by taking the square-root of the momentum constraint. The eigenvalues of the resulting Hamiltonian are real and correspond to masses of the excited string. In particular there are no tachyons.

A special case of radial oscillations of a closed string in Minkowski space-time admits exact solutions in terms of wave functions of the harmonic oscillator.

1 Motivation

In 1962 Dirac considered the possibility that leptons may be described by extended objects of spherical topology [1, 2]. In this way one could understand the muon as the excitation of the ground state of the membrane - the electron. Anticipating Nambu [3] and Goto [4] by almost a decade he introduced what is now known as a generalization

*e-mail: maciej.trzetrzelewski@gmail.com

of the Nambu-Goto action to the case of membranes. Using Bohr-Sommerfeld approximation for the Hamiltonian corresponding to the radial mode, one can then show [1] that the mass of the first excitation is about $53m_e$ where m_e is the mass of the electron. This is about a quarter of the observed mass of the muon. In fact, when instead of the Bohr-Sommerfeld approximation one uses, more precise, numerical methods one finds that the correct value of the first excitation is about $43m_e$ [5, 6]. Therefore Dirac's model "explains" 1/5th of the actual value of the muon mass. The drawback of that construction was however evident for Dirac from the very beginning - there were no spin matrices involved in the equations, implying that the model could not directly describe fermions.

Recently, the solution to this problem was proposed [7] by using Dirac's early idea of taking the square-root of constraints. The resulting Dirac-like equation for membranes is a novel way of considering extended objects with spin 1/2. In particular, the fields appearing there are purely fermionic and (hence) there is no supersymmetry in this approach. The equation is a functional one, however concentrating entirely on radial oscillations one arrives at a relatively simple quantum mechanical problem which can be solved numerically. One then finds that the first excitations is about $61.5m_e$ which is better than $43m_e$ but still not even of the order of the muon mass. At this point, to improve the value, one would have to consider non radial modes as well as relax the assumption that the electromagnetic field is treated as a background i.e. it is not quantized. These directions are certainly interesting to investigate however they will not be the subject of this paper.

In this paper we study the implications of the above method applied to a string rather than a membrane. There is so far no experimental evidence that elementary particles such as leptons are extended objects, in particular it is not known whether they are strings, membranes or something else. Hence it is fair to say that string-like objects should also be considered in this framework, even though membranes seem more natural candidates. We write down the Dirac equation for strings in Minkowski space-time and then study the radial vibrations of the string. This particular case can be solved exactly using the eigen functions of the harmonic oscillator.

Since the equation considered is Dirac-like it is not a surprise that the negative eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian appear. However the eigenvalues are, in this model, masses of excited states therefore

the appearance of negative masses would be physically unacceptable. In the case of membranes this problem has an elegant solution: the masses of the membrane are measured in units of $\lambda^{1/3}$ where λ is the membrane world-volume tension. Therefore, negative energies correspond to negative tension since $-\lambda^{1/3} = (-\lambda)^{1/3}$. It follows that the Dirac equation for membranes implies that every fermion should have a partner with the same mass, spin and charge but with the negative tension. Due to negative tension these particles would be unstable and therefore not seen in the experiment until the energy of the order of $\lambda^{1/3}$ is reached. At larger energies one expects fermions with negative tension to be produced.

In the case of strings the "trick" $\lambda \rightarrow -\lambda$ cannot be performed as the eigenvalues here are measured in units of $\sqrt{\lambda}$ where λ is the string world-volume tension. One therefore has to assume, following Dirac, that the negative energy/mass states are all filled.

2 Dirac equation for strings

It is frequently argued that supersymmetric extension of bosonic string theory is *necessary* in order to a) avoid the appearance of tachyons from the spectrum and b) introduce fermions anyway as they exist in the real world. In this and the following section we show that a) and b) can be obtained in a different way - not introducing supersymmetry at all.

Let us consider point particles for the moment. The relativistic equation for fermions was obtained by Dirac by taking the square-root of the Klein-Gordon equation, which, in turn, can be viewed as a constraint derived from the action of a point particle. Therefore the logic behind Dirac equation can be seen as follows

$$\text{Action} \rightarrow \text{Constraints} \rightarrow \text{Klein-Gordon} \xrightarrow{\sqrt{\quad}} \text{Dirac}. \quad (1)$$

The last step of this reasoning, the square-root, was originally motivated by Dirac by requiring that the equation should be first order in time derivatives. If we however relax this requirement then we could also consider another logic as follows

$$\text{Action} \rightarrow \text{Constraints} \rightarrow \text{Klein-Gordon} \xrightarrow{\text{susy}} \text{massive Wess-Zumino}. \quad (2)$$

Both reasonings (1) and (2) are consistent i.e. they arrive at interesting, albeit different, theories. In fact the supersymmetric massive Wess-Zumino model is mathematically better defined than the Dirac equation since its spectrum is non negative while the spectrum of the Dirac operator is unbounded from below. Therefore, if we were led only by the aesthetic arguments e.g. "nice mathematics", we could in fact claim that (2) is more superior. However at the same time (2) is not realized in Nature while (1) is.

Let us come back to the case of extended objects. Considering the above remarks it would be quite illogical to consider only the analog of (2) and completely forget about (1). After all (1) works (i.e. is supported experimentally) while (2) doesn't - one should therefore investigate (1) in the case of extended objects.

In [7], we used this point and generalized (1) to the case of membranes in flat space, however clearly the same can be done for the string. Let us start with the Nambu-Goto action

$$S = -\lambda \int \sqrt{-G} d^2\sigma, \quad (3)$$

$$G = \det G_{\alpha\beta}, \quad G_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

where X^μ , $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$ are the coordinates parametrized by σ^α 's, $\alpha = 0, 1$, λ is the tension, $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric - we use the many-minus convention throughout the paper. It follows that the canonical momenta $\mathcal{P}_\mu := \delta S / \delta \partial_0 X^\mu$ satisfy the constraint

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\nu + \lambda^2 G_{11} = 0. \quad (4)$$

This constraint is an analog of the mass-shell constraint for the point particle therefore we would like to take the square-root of that equation. It can be done in at least two different ways, one is to write

$$\left(-i\gamma^\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta X^\mu} + \lambda i\gamma^\mu \partial_\sigma X_\mu \right) \Psi = 0 \quad (5)$$

where we substituted the canonical momenta with the functional derivative $\mathcal{P}_\mu \rightarrow -i\delta/\delta X^\mu$. The spinor functional Ψ was introduced at the same time (the domain of Ψ is a space of all possible configurations of the string). Note that in the case of a membrane the counterpart of the term $\gamma^\mu \partial_\sigma X_\mu$ involves the Poisson bracket, $\gamma^{\mu\nu} \{X_\mu, X_\nu\}$, while for a general object with $p > 2$ spatial dimensions we have the Nambu bracket.

Second way is to leave the square-root explicitly i.e. consider

$$\left(-i\gamma^\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta X^\mu} + \lambda \sqrt{-\partial_\sigma X_\mu \partial_\sigma X^\mu} \mathbf{1}\right) \Psi = 0. \quad (6)$$

Both equations, (5) and (6), are good candidates for the Dirac equation for the string. They are however very different in terms of their spectrum. In the next section we will argue that, when concentrating entirely on the radial excitations, the spectrum of (5) is continuous while the spectrum of (6) is discrete.

3 Closed string in 3+1 dimensions

Equations (5) and (6) are obtained by introducing the wave-functional Ψ and demanding that the square-root of the constraint, augmented to the functional operator form, is satisfied on Ψ . This approach seems difficult if the shape of the string is arbitrary - due to the functional character of the equation. On the other hand if one considers $SO(2)$ symmetric case one arrives at a relatively simple quantum mechanical system described by only one degree of freedom (the radial variable) instead of infinitely many of them.

This approach is similar to what one does in the mini-superspace approaches in quantum gravity pioneered by DeWitt [8]. There, the quantum version of the constraint (the Hamiltonian constraint) is the Wheeler-DeWitt equation - which is a functional one. This equation can be then simplified considerably if one concentrates entirely on the metrics of the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker type where there is only one degree of freedom - the scale factor.

To be more specific let us consider the case of $SO(2)$ symmetric strings in 3+1 dimensional Minkowski space. The coordinates of such string can be always chosen so that

$$X^0 = \tau, \quad X^1 = \rho(\tau) \cos \sigma, \quad X^2 = \rho(\tau) \sin \sigma, \quad X^3 = 0$$

i.e. the string is laying in the $X^1 X^2$ plane. Let us also introduce the averaged (over the volume) momentum operator

$$p_\mu := \int_{S^1} d\sigma \mathcal{P}_\mu$$

at some time-slice. As a result, equation (6) gives

$$(-i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu|_\rho + 2\pi\lambda\rho\mathbf{1})\psi = 0 \quad (7)$$

where we replaced the momenta $p_\mu \rightarrow -i\partial_\mu$ by ordinary derivatives; the symbol $|_\rho$ means taking only the radial part of the operator. Note that the analogous equation for (5) is like in (7) but without the potential term i.e. $-i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu|_\rho \psi = 0$ (the integral over the potential term vanishes). Therefore its spectrum is continuous and we shall not be considering this case in the remaining part of this paper.

On the other hand equation (7) has discrete family of solutions. To see this let us write the operator $-i\partial_\mu$ in new coordinates (τ, ρ, σ, z) . We have

$$-i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = \left(-i\gamma^0 \partial_\tau - i\gamma^1 e^{-\sigma\gamma^1\gamma^2} \partial_\rho - i\frac{1}{\rho} \gamma^2 e^{-\sigma\gamma^1\gamma^2} \partial_\sigma - i\gamma^3 \partial_z \right) \psi$$

where we introduced the exponent of $\sigma\gamma^1\gamma^2$ for convenience. Our objective now is to single out the radial part of the above operator. To do so we rotate the spinor ψ and define $\phi := e^{-\frac{\gamma^1\gamma^2}{2}\sigma} \psi$. We now find that

$$\begin{aligned} -i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi &= e^{\frac{\gamma^1\gamma^2}{2}\sigma} \mathcal{D} \phi, \\ \mathcal{D} &:= -i\gamma^0 \partial_\tau - i\gamma^1 \left(\partial_\rho + \frac{1}{2\rho} \right) - i\gamma^2 \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\sigma - i\gamma^3 \partial_z \end{aligned}$$

therefore equation (7) becomes

$$\mathcal{D} \phi + 2\pi\lambda\rho\phi = 0. \quad (8)$$

In order to solve (8) let us use another ansatz $\phi = \rho^{-1/2} e^{-iE\tau + im\sigma} \chi(\rho)$ where E is the energy (a mass of the excited state) and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (note that we are assuming no z dependence). Using the Dirac representation of the gamma matrices and splitting the spinor $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4)^T$ by defining

$$\chi_+ = (\chi_1, \chi_4)^T, \quad \chi_- = (\chi_2, \chi_3)^T$$

we find from (8), that $\chi_\pm$ decouple and satisfy

$$H_\pm \chi_\pm = \epsilon \chi_\pm, \quad H_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} x & i\partial_x \pm \frac{im}{x} \\ i\partial_x \mp \frac{im}{x} & -x \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

$$x = \rho\sqrt{2\pi\lambda}, \quad \epsilon = E/\sqrt{2\pi\lambda}$$

where x and ϵ are dimensionless.

In general, the spectrum of H can only be found using numerical methods however the case $m = 0$ is much easier and can be solved

exactly. To see this explicitly let us write $\chi_{\pm}$ as $\chi_{\pm} = (F, G)^T$ and define $a_{\pm} = F \pm G$. The eigen equation (9) implies that

$$(x \pm \partial_x)a_{\pm} = \epsilon a_{\mp} \quad \rightarrow \quad (-\partial_x^2 + x^2)a_{\pm} = (\epsilon^2 \pm 1)a_{\pm}.$$

Therefore the components $a_{\pm}$ decouple and are given by the solutions of the harmonic oscillator

$$a_{-n} = \psi_n^{H.O.}, \quad a_{+n} = \psi_{n+1}^{H.O.} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where $\psi_n^{H.O.}$ is the n 'th eigenstate of the harmonic oscillator. They corresponding to energy-squared $\epsilon^2 = 2(n+1)$. It is instructive to substitute them directly into (9) - we will find that they correspond to positive energy $\sqrt{2(n+1)}$. However there exist another family of solutions given by

$$a_{-n} = \psi_n^{H.O.}, \quad a_{+n} = -\psi_{n+1}^{H.O.} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

These solutions correspond to negative masses $\epsilon = -\sqrt{2(n+1)}$ and therefore should be eliminated somehow from the theory. Because they are fermion states one could assume that these states are already occupied i.e. introduce the Dirac sea of states.

The case of arbitrary m , although probably not solvable, also contains real and discrete spectrum. Reality is guaranteed by the fact that $H_{\pm}$ is hermitian. The proof that $H_{\pm}$ is discrete can be obtained by noting that the square of $H_{\pm}$ is

$$H_{\pm}^2 = H_{\pm, m=0}^2 + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m(m \mp 1)}{x^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{m(m \pm 1)}{x^2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

The second operator on the r.h.s. of (10) is positive for any m while the first is discrete therefore $H_{\pm}^2$ is bounded from below by a discrete operator. By a standard theorem in functional analysis [9] this implies that $H_{\pm}^2$, and hence $H_{\pm}$, is discrete.

4 Summary

Dirac equation is one of the most successful, moreover extremely simple and elegant, equations describing elementary particles. The logic behind that equation is based on two assumptions: the equation should be Lorentz covariant and first order in time derivatives. The

later rules out the Klein-Gordon equation (which is also known to fail for other reasons) while the first rules out the Schrödinger equation. A correct solution of the problem turns out to be given by the square-root of the Klein-Gordon equation or equivalently the square-root of the quadratic (in momenta) constraints of the classical theory - which are then replaced by the corresponding differential operators. Because this heuristics works one is tempted to apply this procedure also to extended objects.

Our main motivation to study Dirac equation for extended objects is the possibility that it may explain the experimental values of the lepton masses. In the original Dirac equation for a point particle the mass is a parameter of the theory that one has to fix using the experimental data. It is not determined by any equation. When considering Dirac equation for extended objects one arrives at functional differential operator whose eigenvalues are masses of the object. If that spectrum is discrete then there exists a possibility that one could explain lepton masses as excitations of the ground state. This idea for the first time was proposed by Dirac [1, 2] in the case of a bosonic membrane.

In this paper we use this idea concentrating on the case of Dirac equation for a string rather than a membrane. There are at least two different ways (equations (5) and (6)) in which one can perform the square-root of the constraint. Considering only the radial excitations we argued that the spectrum of (5) is continuous while the spectrum of (6) is discrete. The analysis is only approximate as we are neglecting all other degrees of freedom however, we claim that these conclusions are the same in the general case.

An important property of this model is that the spectrum of the resulting Hamiltonian is given by masses of excited states of the string. The Hamiltonian is hermitian hence all the masses are real and therefore there is no problem with tachyon excitation, as it is the case in bosonic string theory. Negative mass states appear and cannot be interpreted as strings with negative tension (as it is the case for membranes). Therefore one needs to assume that these states are all filled by introducing the Dirac sea of states with negative masses. This may be seen as a serious drawback of the model.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Jianwei Mei for many discussions at AEI and M. Kuźniak for email correspondence. This work was supported by DFG (German Science Foundation) via the SFB grant.

References

- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, *An Extensible Model of the Electron*, Proc. R. Soc. A268, 57-67 (1962).
- [2] P. A. M. Dirac, *Particles of Finite Size in the Gravitational Field*, Proc. R. Soc. A270, 354-356 (1962).
- [3] Y. Nambu, *Duality and hydrodynamics*, Copenhagen Summer Symposium, 1970.
- [4] T. Goto, *Relativistic quantum mechanics of one-dimensional mechanical continuum and subsidiary condition of dual resonance*, Prog. Theor. Phys. 46 (1971) 1560.
- [5] P. Gnädig, Z. Kunszt, P. Hasenfratz, J. Kutti, *Diracs Extended Electron Model*, Ann. of Phys., 116 2 (1978) 380-407.
- [6] M. Trzetrzelewski, *Dirac membrane and hadrons*, Nordita preprint, NORDITA-2011-23.
- [7] M. Trzetrzelewski, *Dirac equation for membranes*, Phys. Rev. D84, 087701 (2011) [arXiv:1103.1964](#).
- [8] B. S. DeWitt, *Quantum Theory of Gravity. I. The Canonical Theory*, Phys. Rev. 160, 1113-1148 (1967).
- [9] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 4: Analysis of Operators.